

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,669	02/05/2002	Thomas K. Tcheng	N12-01	3280	
26876	7590 11/24/2003		EXAMINER		
NEUROPACE, INC. 1375 SHOREBIRD WAY			GETZOW, SCOTT M		
	VIEW, CA 94043		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762	1	
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	\mathcal{L}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/072,669	TCHENG ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott M. Getzow	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,— , , , , <u>— — </u>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-20,24-32 and 35-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>3,21-23,33 and 34</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,21-23,33 and 34</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intensions Summer	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/072,669

Art Unit: 3762

Claim R j ctions - 35 USC § 103

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4,5,7,9-12,14,16-20,24-32,35,36,38,40-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrett et al '038.

Barrett renders obvious all of the subject matter of the above claims. Specifically, Barrett teaches an implantable neurostimulator which can be programmed via an external programmer, see column 3, lines 38-42. Also, see column 5, lines 33+, and column 6, lines 12-17, for a discussion of the ability to detect movement and to automatically cause treatment pulses to stimulate nerves to alleviate the movement disorder. The step of ceasing treatment delivery, as claimed by applicant, is considered to be obvious in light of the fact that it makes sense to stop treatment if it is no longer necessary in order to preserve battery power. Further, the placement of the device, as claimed in claim 28, is considered to be intended use and not a structural limitation.

3. Claims 6,8,13,15,37,39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrett et al '038 as applied to claims 1,9,10,29-31 above, and further in view of Stein.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,669 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

Barrett teaches all of the subject matter of the above claims except for the explicit mention of using a drug in place of electrical stimulation. Stein teaches the application of a drug to alleviate neurologically based disorders of a patient. It would have been obvious to use drug treatment with the device of Barrett since such treatment has been shown to be effective in alleviating symptoms associated with movement disorders.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3,21-23,33,34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (703) 308-2997. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Scott M. Getzow

Application/Control Number: 10/072,669

Art Unit: 3762

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

smg

Page 4